



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,419	04/12/2001	Rajesh Peddu	AUS920010083US1	1615

7590 02/16/2005

Duke W. Yee  
Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP  
P.O. Box 802334  
Dallas, TX 75380

EXAMINER
----------

PATEL, RAMESH B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2121

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/833,419

Applicant(s)

PEDDU ET AL.

Examiner

Ramesh B. Patel

Art Unit

2121

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 January 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                    | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-28 are presented for examination.

**Drawings**

2. The objection to drawing Figure 5 is withdrawn due to the amendment and/or remarks filed on 1/5/2005.

**Objection**

3. The objection to claim 13 is withdrawn due to the amendment to claim 13.

**Rejection**

4. The rejection to claims 12-13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn due to the amendment to claim 12.
5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112**

6. The following is new rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and the following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the limitation(s) “applying a template to the content to generate a Web page”; it appears to be missing some information and/or it is not clear to interpret the structural relationship of “a template” with other limitations. Also, examiner has noticed that applicant has deleted some limitations describing relationship as “wherein said template is a template associated with...”; examiner is not why the applicant has deleted this portion from claim 1, lines 8-10.

Dependent claims, which are not particularly rejected, are rejected based on the rejected base claim. Applicant is requested review all claims and make appropriate correction as required.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102**

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2121

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Linden et al. (US Patent 6,360,254 B1).

As to claims 1, 5, 12, 14-16, 20 and 27-28, Linden teaches the invention including a method, a content server system and computer program in a data processing system for handling a request for content, the method, the system and computer program, comprising: a bus system, a communication unit connected to the bus system and a memory connected to the bus system wherein the memory includes as set of instructions (see, figure 1-2, col. 1, lines 41-60); a processing unit connected to the bus system the processing unit executes the set of instructions for receiving the request for content wherein the request contains a universal resource identifier (see, figures 3A-4B and col. 1, lines 41-60 and col. 2, lines 21-33); identifying a content source from a plurality of content sources using the universal resource locator an index into a table to form an identified content source and retrieving the content from the identified content source (see, col. 2, lines 21-50 and col. 4, lines 35-44); and applying a template to the content to generate a Web page (see, figures 1-4B and col. 4, line 45 to col. 5, line 21).

As to claims 2 and 17, Linden teaches the method and the system further comprising: sending the Web page to a requester originating the request (see, abstract and figures 3A-4B and col. 1, lines 41-60).

As to claims 3, 11, 18 and 26, Linden teaches the method and the system wherein the Web page is a Java server page (see, abstract and figures 1-4B and col. 3, lines 19-43).

As to claims 4 and 19, Linden teaches the method and the system wherein template is a particular template associated with the identified content source and associated with a user originating the request (see, figures 1-4B and col. 4, line 45 to col. 5, line 21).

As to claims 6-10, 13 and 21-25, Linden teaches the method and the system further comprising: generating session information using information within the request wherein selecting step includes selecting the template using the session information in addition to the universal resource identifier wherein the obtaining step comprises: obtaining navigation information using the universal resource identifier; and creating a navigation object, wherein the retrieving step comprises: retrieving content from a particular content source from a plurality of content sources using the universal resource identifier; and creating a content object, wherein the generating step comprises: generating the Web page using the template, the navigation object, and

Art Unit: 2121

content object (see, abstract and figures 1-4B and col. 1, lines 19-55 and col. 4, line 45 to col. 5, line 21).

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2121

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-3688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 571-272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Ramesh B. Patel  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2121  
2/11/05

rp